



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/900,691 | 07/06/2001 | Hans-Juergen Hauschild | 112740-237 | 6701 | |
| 29177 7 | 590 01/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P. O. BOX 1135 | | | ESCALANT | ESCALANTE, OVIDIO | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2645 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Notice of Abandanmant | 09/900,691 | HAUSCHILD ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ovidio Escalante | 2645 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | dress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of | failing or Transmission dated | • | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | , , | - |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which pla or (3) a timely filed F | aces the Request for |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | | mpt at a proper repl | ly, to the non- |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 5). | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | received on (with a Certification for payment of the issue fee (an | ate of Mailing or Tra d publication fee) s | ansmission dated et in the Notice of |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | · |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | • |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | eriod set in, the No | tice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire ir | nterest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a represe | entative capacity un | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | ence rendered on and because ns. | e the period for see | king court review |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Examiner called Mr. Peter Zura on December 19, 20 | 005 to determine the status of the | application, Mr. 2 | Zura stated that |
| the application has gone abandoned. | OVIDIO ESCALANTE PATENT EXAMINER | • | |
| | OvideoEscalante | Ovidio Escalante Primary Examine Art Unit: 2645 | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw | w the holding of abandonment under 37 C | FR 1.181, should be | promptly filed to |